

REMARKS

Claims 1-13 have been canceled, claims 14, 25 and 26 have been amended and new claims 27 and 28 have been added. Claims 21 and 22 have been canceled by way of this response. Thus, claims 14-20 and 23-28 are currently pending and presented for examination. Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and allowance of the pending claims in view of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

Response to Objections:

The Examiner has objected to the title. Applicants have amended the title and respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the objection.

Response to Rejections Under Section 112:

Claims 1-21, 22 and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicants canceled claims 1-13, 21 and 22 thus making the rejection to claims 1-13, 21 and 22 moot.

Claims 14-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, the Examiner contending that it is not clear why a second page identification that originates from the server should be stored in the same server. Applicants amended claim 14 by adding the limitation "wherein the second page identification data includes at least one further specific transmission identifier" to overcome the rejection. The second page identification data is different from the first page identification data at least because of different specific transmission identifier. For at least the reasons discussed with regard to the Section 112 rejection to claim 14, Applicants respectfully submit that also the rejections to claims 15-20 are overcome and respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the Section 112 rejections.

Claim 25 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112. Applicants amended claim 25 to overcome the rejection.

Response to Rejections Under Section 101:

Claims 25 and 26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101. Applicants amended claims 25 and 26 to overcome the rejection. Applicants respectfully request the Examiner to withdraw the Section 101 rejections.

Response to Rejections Under Section 102:

Claims 14-20 and 23-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e), the Examiner contending that these claims are anticipated by Jellum et al (USPN 6,915,482).

Applicants' Claims 14, 25 and 26 recite:

the first page identification data including at least one specific transmission identifier

AND

wherein the second page identification data includes at least one further specific transmission identifier;

The Examiner cites Jellum et al. column 9 lines 1-15 to supply such teaching, contending that Jellum et al. discloses a specific transmission identifier. Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's contention Jellum et al. discloses a specific transmission identifier. Jellum et al. merely teaches the use of an update indicator to acquire an update if data have changed. Thus Jellums' teaching is solely directed to identify changes in a data content. There is no teaching or suggestion to use specific transmission identifiers as claimed. According to the limitation recited above a specific transmission identifier is used in the first page identification data and a further specific transmission identifier is used in the second page identification data. By providing different transmission identifiers for different transmissions, the transmissions themselves can be distinguished from another. Jellum et al. does not disclose or suggest to identify different transmissions based upon specific transmission identifiers.

In view of the above, claims 14, 25 and 26 are not anticipated by Jellum et al. Furthermore, claims 15-20, 23, 24, 27 and 28 which depend on claim 14 are also patentable at least based on their dependence from claim 14 as well as based on their own merits. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the Section 102 rejections.

New Claims:

New claims 27 and 28 further define the scope of the invention as described in the specification and drawings. In view of the foregoing remarks regarding claim 14, Applicants respectfully submit claims 27 and 28 are patentable and requests allowance of claims 14-20 and 23-28.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully submitted that the objections and rejections set forth in the outstanding Office Action are inapplicable to the present claims. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner reconsider the objections and rejections and timely pass the application to allowance. Please grant any extensions of time required to enter this paper. The commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any appropriate fees due in connection with this paper, including fees for additional claims and terminal disclaimer fee, or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 19-2179.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 10, 2008

By: Janet D. Hood
Janet D. Hood
Registration No. 61,142
(407) 736-4234

Siemens Corporation
Intellectual Property Department
170 Wood Avenue South
Iselin, New Jersey 08830